



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,990	07/14/2003	Scott Cunningham	2850	5967

50855 7590 09/11/2006

UNITED STATES SURGICAL,  
A DIVISION OF TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP  
195 MCDERMOTT ROAD  
NORTH HAVEN, CT 06473

EXAMINER

POUS, NATALIE R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3731

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/618,990	CUNNINGHAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Natalie Pous	3731	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                                    |                                                                             |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)               | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                                |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/16/03, 11/20/03</u> .                                                  | 6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>IDS: 1/26/04</u> .         |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments/Remarks***

With regards to the response to the final rejection, applicants remarks have been considered, and reconsideration of the rejection is granted. As such, finality as indicated by the previous office action is hereby withdrawn, and a non-final action with regards to the remarks set forth in the after final response and the merit of claims 1-9 and 12-21 follows.

#### **Regarding the combination of Smith '961 and Smith '484**

Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-8 filed 8/24/06, with respect to claims 1, 8 and 9 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC 103 rejections of claims 1, 8 and 9 with respect to Smith '961 and '484 have been withdrawn.

#### **Regarding the combination of Wong, Smith '484 and Allen**

Applicant's arguments, see pages 8-9 filed 8/24/06, with respect to claims 1-4 and 7 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC 103 rejections of claims 1-4 and 7 with respect to the combination of Wong, Smith '484 and Allen have been withdrawn.

#### **Regarding the combination of Allen, Smith '484 and Wong**

Applicant's arguments, see pages 9-10 filed 8/24/06, with respect to claims 1, 5 and 6 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC 103 rejections of claims 1, 5 and 6 with respect to the combination of Allen, Smith '484 and Wong have been withdrawn.

**Regarding the combination of Sardelis, Wong and Allen**

Applicant's arguments filed 8/24/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the combination of Sardelis, Wong and Allen fails to teach wherein "each of the three sides including a pair of planer surface portions arranged in oblique and intersecting along a median plane bisecting a respective side to define a general concave appearance." Examiner respectfully disagrees. As described in the previous office action, Sardelis teaches a surgical needle comprising the following features:

- an elongated needle body defining a longitudinal y-axis (50)
- the needle body including a central shaft portion (60)
- a first suture end portion for attachment to a suture (80)
- a second needled end portion for penetrating tissue (70)
- the needled end portion (70) having three sides which intersect to define three cutting edges (90)
- and terminating at a needle point (70)
- the needled end portion further defining an enlarged transition portion (Line 7-7) adjacent the central shaft section with an x-dimension (height) at least substantially equal to a corresponding x-dimension of the central shaft (line 8-8).

- the enlarged transition portion defines an x- dimension (Line 7-7) greater than a corresponding x-dimension (Line 8-8) of the central shaft portion (Fig. 6).
- the enlarged transition portion defines a z- dimension (width) at least substantially equal to a corresponding z-dimension of the central shaft (90, Fig. 5).
- the enlarged transition portion defines a z- dimension greater than a corresponding z-dimension of the central shaft portion (90, Fig. 5).
- The x-dimension and z-dimensions of the enlarged transition portion is defined between adjacent cutting edges (90, fig. 7)

Sardelis fails to disclose wherein each side includes a pair of planar surface portions arranged in oblique relation and intersecting along a median plane bisecting a respective side to define a general concave appearance to the respective side.

Wong teaches a surgical needle wherein sides include a pair of planar surface portions (14, 22) arranged in oblique relation and intersecting along a median plane (18) bisecting a respective side to define a general concave appearance to the respective side (Column 3, proximate lines 32-35) in order to provide a needle with improved penetration and smaller wound opening that is easy to form (Column 2, proximate lines 7-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the needle sides of Sardelis with a pair of planar surface portions arranged in oblique relation and intersecting along a median plane bisecting a respective side to define a general concave appearance to the respective side in order

Art Unit: 3731

to provide a needle with improved penetration and smaller wound opening that is easy to form.

The combination of Sardelis and Wong fails to teach wherein each side has a generally concave appearance. Allen teaches a surgical needle wherein each side includes a pair of planar surfaces (25, 26) arranged in an oblique relation in order to minimize the surface area of the needle in contact with the skin in order to provide improved penetration performance, less tissue trauma and distortion and a reduced wound opening area (Column 3, proximate lines 55-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the surgical needle of the combination of Sardelis and Wong with planar surfaces arranged in an oblique relation on each side as taught by Allen in order to minimize the surface area of the needle in contact with the skin in order to provide improved penetration performance, less tissue trauma and distortion and a reduced wound opening area.

As such, the combination of Sardelis, Wong and Allen does teach the disclosed limitation.

#### **Regarding the combination of Smith '961 and Sardelis**

Applicant's arguments, see pages 9-10 filed 8/24/06, with respect to claims 1, 16 and 17 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC 103 rejections of claims 1, 16 and 17 with respect to the combination of Smith '961 and Sardelis have been withdrawn.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-8 and 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sardelis (US 5730732) in view of Wong (US 5030228) and further in view of Allen (US 5403344).

Sardelis teaches a surgical needle comprising the following features:

- an elongated needle body defining a longitudinal y-axis (50)
- the needle body including a central shaft portion (60)
- a first suture end portion for attachment to a suture (80)
- a second needled end portion for penetrating tissue (70)
- the needled end portion (70) having three sides which intersect to define three cutting edges (90)
- and terminating at a needle point (70)

- the needled end portion further defining an enlarged transition portion (Line 7-7) adjacent the central shaft section with an x-dimension (height) at least substantially equal to a corresponding x-dimension of the central shaft (line 8-8).
- the enlarged transition portion defines an x- dimension (Line 7-7) greater than a corresponding x-dimension (Line 8-8) of the central shaft portion (Fig. 6).
- the enlarged transition portion defines a z- dimension (width) at least substantially equal to a corresponding z-dimension of the central shaft (90, Fig. 5).
- the enlarged transition portion defines a z- dimension greater than a corresponding z-dimension of the central shaft portion (90, Fig. 5).
- The x-dimension and z-dimensions of the enlarged transition portion is defined between adjacent cutting edges (90, fig. 7)
- The central shaft portion defines a distal transition portion adjacent the needled end portion, the distal shaft portion defining a cross section of general triangular character (fig. 8)

Sardelis fails to disclose wherein each side includes a pair of planar surface portions arranged in oblique relation and intersecting along a median plane bisecting a respective side to define a general concave appearance to the respective side.

Wong teaches a surgical needle wherein sides include a pair of planar surface portions (14, 22) arranged in oblique relation and intersecting along a median plane (18)



Art Unit: 3731

bisecting a respective side to define a general concave appearance to the respective side (Column 3, proximate lines 32-35), wherein the angle between the planar surfaces is about 170 degrees (Column 3, proximate lines 64-66), and Two of the cutting edges intersect at the needle point (P) and define an angle of about 22° to about 25° (Column 3, proximate lines 47-48) in order to provide a needle with improved penetration and smaller wound opening that is easy to form (Column 2, proximate lines 7-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the needle sides of Sardelis with a pair of planar surface portions arranged in oblique relation and intersecting along a median plane bisecting a respective side to define a general concave appearance to the respective side in order to provide a needle with improved penetration and smaller wound opening that is easy to form.

The combination of Sardelis and Wong fails to teach wherein each side has a generally concave appearance. Allen teaches a surgical needle wherein each side includes a pair of planar surfaces (25, 26) arranged in an oblique relation in order to minimize the surface area of the needle in contact with the skin in order to provide improved penetration performance, less tissue trauma and distortion and a reduced wound opening area (Column 3, proximate lines 55-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the surgical needle of the combination of Sardelis and Wong with planar surfaces arranged in an oblique relation on each side as taught by Allen in order to minimize the surface area of the needle in contact with the skin in order to provide improved penetration performance, less tissue trauma and distortion and a reduced wound opening area.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Sardelis, Wong, and Allen as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Smith et al. (US 5797961). The combination of Sardelis, Wong, and Allen teaches all limitations of preceding dependent claims 1 and 8 as previously described, but fails to teach wherein the distal shaft portion surfaces are interconnected by rounded surfaces. Smith teaches a cutting edge needle wherein the distal shaft surfaces (41) are interconnected by rounded surfaces (fig. 6) in order to provide the cross-section of central section 40 such that a conventional needle-grasper can sufficiently grasp and effectively maintain the needle 5 in a fixed position as the needle 5 penetrates body tissue without the needle slipping between the jaws of the needle grasper. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Sardelis, Wong, and Allen with distal shaft portion surfaces interconnected by rounded surfaces as taught by Smith in order to provide the cross-section of central section 40 such that a conventional needle-grasper can sufficiently grasp and effectively maintain the needle 5 in a fixed position as the needle 5 penetrates body tissue without the needle slipping between the jaws of the needle grasper.


### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natalie Pous whose telephone number is (571) 272-6140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-5:30pm, off every 2nd Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NRP  
8/28/06

  
(JACKIE) TAN-UYEN HO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
9/5/06